INSIGHTS

Buddha: Proto-Neuroscientist and Forerunner of AI Thought

CHHEM Kieth Rethy[1]*, MD, PhD (Edu), and PhD (His)*

[1] ***CHHEM Kieth Rethy*** *is a Distinguished Professor of AI and Humanities, Center for Buddhist AI Ethics, Cambodia University of Science and Technology and Advisor to AI Forum*

In the long history of human inquiry into the nature of the mind, few figures stand out as luminously as the historical Buddha, Siddhartha Gautama. While he is predominantly revered as a spiritual teacher, a deeper analysis of his teachings and practices reveals a pioneering investigator of human consciousness who, without any modern scientific instruments, anticipated many of the core findings of contemporary neuroscience. This essay explores the proposition that the Buddha may be considered a proto-neuroscientist an early pioneer who, through disciplined introspection and ethical inquiry, established a cognitive framework for well-being and self-transformation long before the emergence of modern neuroscience.

The Buddha's methodology centered around direct observation of the mind. Rather than relying on dogma or external authorities, he advocated for empirical introspection vipaśyanā (insight meditation) as a means to understand the processes of thought, emotion, perception, and consciousness. In doing so, he framed the mind as both the subject and object of investigation. His techniques were reproducible and systematic: practitioners following the same path of ethical discipline (sīla), concentration (samādhi), and insight (paññā) often reported consistent mental phenomena, suggesting an early form of intersubjective validity an important criterion in scientific inquiry.

This process of rigorous self-examination can be likened to first-person neurophenomenology, a methodological bridge now recognized in cognitive science for integrating subjective experience with objective brain data (Varela et al., 1991). The Buddha's mental laboratory did not require physical tools; it depended on trained attention, ethical framing, and contemplative awareness. Through this lens, the mind became a reliable domain of exploration, capable of revealing universal patterns and causal laws.

One of the most illustrative examples of the Buddha’s proto-scientific insight is his teaching on Paṭiccasamuppāda, or Dependent Origination. This doctrine presents a twelve-linked causal chain through which suffering arises, persists, and can be overcome. Importantly, these links represent both cognitive and behavioral feedback loops where past mental habits reinforce present actions and perceptions, recursively conditioning future experiences. This dynamic model is strikingly similar to modern theories of predictive

coding, where the brain continuously updates its models of reality through feedback between expectation and sensory input.

Moreover, the Buddha's emphasis on intention (cetana) as the engine of karma prefigures modern insights from affective neuroscience, which show how intentional states shape emotional responses, cognitive evaluations, and even neural architecture. Just as repeated negative thinking can rewire the brain toward anxiety or depression, Buddhist meditation particularly loving-kindness (mettā) and compassion (karuṇā) practices train the brain toward empathy, resilience, and equanimity. Empirical studies by Davidson, Lutz, and others demonstrate how these practices enhance neuroplasticity in regions such as the prefrontal cortex and amygdala, further affirming the Buddha's claim that the mind is both malleable and ethically responsive (Davidson & Lutz, 2008).

This brings us to the concept of neuroplasticity perhaps the most compelling link between Buddhism and modern neuroscience. The Buddha taught that transformation is possible through consistent practice, ethical living, and wise reflection. This view is mirrored in contemporary science by the understanding that the brain's structure and function are not fixed but evolve with learning, attention, and intention. The Eightfold Path can thus be interpreted as a blueprint for cognitive and behavioral reprogramming. It filters sensory input through ethical conduct, stabilizes emotional processing through meditation, and produces intelligent outputs through insight and right understanding. This mirrors how meta-learning algorithms AI systems that learn how to learn optimize their performance over time.

Additionally, the Buddha's logical framework challenged conventional binary thinking. His philosophy is most famously represented by the catuṣkoṭi, or tetralemma, articulated by later thinkers such as Nāgārjuna. This logic posits four alternatives to any proposition: it may be true, false, both, or neither. Such a framework resists oversimplification and reflects the nuanced, context-sensitive reasoning required in both advanced philosophical logic and artificial intelligence. In AI, especially in natural language processing and ethical decision-making, the binary true/false logic often fails to capture the ambiguity,

contradiction, and complexity of real-world scenarios. The Buddha's logic provides an alternative system of thought one that accommodates paradox and fosters deeper insight.

Later Buddhist epistemologists like Dignāga and Dharmakīrti expanded on the Buddha's cognitive models by defining criteria for valid cognition (pramāṇa), distinguishing between direct perception (pratyakṣa) and logical inference (anumāna). These models align with the dual-processing theories in psychology and AI, where systems must balance raw sensory data with abstract, rule-based reasoning. Dharmakīrti's concept of arthakriyā the pragmatic effectiveness of knowledge adds an applied dimension, echoing the functional goals of machine learning systems which must distinguish useful patterns from irrelevant noise.

Importantly, the Buddha integrated ethics as a central feature of cognition. In his framework, cognitive clarity could not be separated from ethical clarity. Wrong view and wrong intention cloud perception, while right intention purifies it. This is a radical departure from contemporary models that separate ethics from cognitive efficiency. In AI development today, ethical considerations are often afterthoughts, bolted on post hoc through regulation or risk assessment. The Buddha's model, by contrast, insists that ethics is the infrastructure, not the ornament. Intention conditions perception, which conditions behavior, which in turn reshapes the system. This is true both for biological and artificial cognition.

Finally, the Buddha's teleological aim was not the accumulation of knowledge for its own sake, but the alleviation of suffering. His model of intelligence is one guided by compassion and contextual awareness a form of intelligence that is wise not just in content, but in application. This form of karuṇā-paññā, or compassionate wisdom, is essential in our current AI landscape, where the power of algorithms must be matched by a commitment to minimize harm and promote well-being. In this sense, the Buddha offers a vision not only of human flourishing but also of machine benevolence, where intelligent systems serve rather than dominate humanity.

In conclusion, the Buddha anticipated many of the principles now celebrated in cognitive science, psychology, and artificial intelligence. He worked without a laboratory, without

imaging devices, and without chemical analyses yet produced a robust, reproducible, and ethically grounded system of cognitive transformation. His teachings prefigure neuroplasticity, feedback-based learning, affective regulation, and non-binary logic. More importantly, his vision of wisdom as rooted in compassion offers a sorely needed model for designing humane, ethical, and context-aware AI systems. In every sense, the Buddha stands as a proto-neuroscientist one who illuminated the path of the mind, not through machines, but through mindful presence, ethical insight, and a profound understanding of human nature. Understanding the Buddha's cognitive framework offers a valuable lens for algorithm design-particularly in crafting compassionate AI systems that prioritize ethical decision-making and emotional sensitivity alongside computational efficiency.

References

Davidson, R. J., & Lutz, A. (2008). Buddha's brain: Neuroplasticity and meditation. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 25*(1), 176-174. 10.1109/MSP.2008.4431873

Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning*. MIT Press.

Nāgārjuna. (1986). *The Philosophy of the Middle Way (Mūlamadhyamakakārikā)* (D. J. Kalupahana, Trans.). SUNY Press.

Ingalls, D. M. M. (Ed.). (1968). *On perception: being the Pratyakṣapariccheda of Dignāga's Pramāṇasamuccaya; from the Sanskrit fragments and the Tibetan versions* (Vol. 47) (M. Hattori, Trans.). Harvard University Press.

Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Tang, Y.-Y., Hölzel, B. K., & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16*(4), 213-225.

Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). *The embodied mind: Cognitive science and human experience*. MIT Press.

** Edited with ChatGPT for clarity; all views expressed are solely those of the authors.*

** The opinions expressed are the authors' own and do not reflect the views of AI Forum.*