

INSIGHTS

Science as Shield: How Small States Survive Through Cyber, AI, Math, and Physics

CHHEM Kieth Rethy¹, MD, PhD (Edu), and PhD (His)

¹ *CHHEM Kieth Rethy is a Distinguished Professor of AI and Humanities, Center for Buddhist AI Ethics, Cambodia University of Science and Technology and Advisor to AI Forum*

Introduction

History offers no ambiguity. No nation has achieved sustained industrial development, technological sovereignty, or credible national defense without mastering mathematics, physics, and cyber technology. These are not academic disciplines detached from reality. They are the structural foundations of power, production, and survival in the modern world.

This article reflects on three earlier Asian Vision Institute papers, authored between 2019 and 2021, on cyber conflict, mathematics education, and physics capacity. In the context of today's escalating regional and technological pressures, these papers are revisited as early warnings whose implications for Cambodia's sovereignty have become impossible to ignore.

In a time of war economy, whether declared or undeclared, states are judged not by rhetoric but by scientific depth, technological resilience, and predictive capacity.

Mathematics: The Hidden Engine of Industry and Precision Warfare

Mathematics is the language through which modern states organize complexity. It underpins logistics, optimization, simulation, cryptography, targeting systems, supply-chain resilience, artificial intelligence, and economic forecasting. Industrial production in the era of Industry 4.0 is impossible without applied mathematics, just as modern warfare is impossible without mathematical modeling of trajectories, probabilities, risks, and decision trees.

The mathematics paper did not merely advocate education reform. It predicted industrial stratification. It foresaw a world divided between nations that command abstraction and computation and those that remain locked into low-value, labor-intensive roles. That prediction has materialized. Countries without strong mathematical ecosystems do not design systems; they operate systems designed by others.

In a war economy, this translates into dependence. Dependence translates into vulnerability.

Physics: The Backbone of Industrial Depth and Strategic Autonomy

Physics is the foundation of energy systems, materials science, aerospace, sensors, communications, medical technology, and all forms of precision manufacturing. Modern defense systems, radar, missiles, drones, satellites, electronic warfare platforms, are physics embodied in hardware and software. Even civilian infrastructure, from power grids to transport systems, has become cyber-physical in nature.

The physics paper carried a predictive warning: nations that neglect physics education lose not only industrial capability but also strategic understanding. Decision-makers become dependent on external expertise for technologies they cannot independently evaluate. This creates a form of silent sovereignty erosion.

That prediction is now evident. Energy security, space systems, smart factories, and advanced manufacturing are controlled by physics-intensive states. Countries without physics capacity cannot even properly negotiate technology transfers, let alone defend critical systems. In war-economy conditions, ignorance of physics is not neutral. It is a liability.

Cyber Technology: The Battlefield Without Borders

The cyberconflict paper predicted a fundamental transformation of warfare. Conflict would no longer begin with tanks or aircraft, but with data breaches, network intrusions, manipulation of public trust, and attacks on industrial control systems. Borders would lose meaning. Distance would no longer protect states. This prediction has fully materialized. Cyber operations now precede and accompany kinetic conflict. Ports, energy grids, telecommunications, financial systems, and military command structures are permanent targets. Cyber capability has become a prerequisite for national defense, not an optional modernization effort. For small and medium states, the risk is asymmetric. The absence of cyber expertise does not buy safety. It invites exploitation.

Implications in the AI Era

Artificial intelligence does not replace mathematics, physics, or cyber capability. It amplifies their importance. AI systems are built on mathematical models, depend on physics-based infrastructure, and operate within cyber environments. States lacking these foundations cannot audit algorithms, secure data, or control critical AI-enabled systems. For small states, importing AI without scientific depth creates algorithmic dependence.

Decisions shift to opaque systems designed elsewhere, embedding foreign assumptions and security risks. In a war economy, this is a silent loss of sovereignty. AI raises, rather than lowers, the survival threshold. States with strong scientific ecosystems can use AI to offset size and resource limits. States without them become users, not designers, of power. In the AI era, mathematics, physics, and cyber capability are not optional enablers. They are the conditions of algorithmic sovereignty.

Predictive Convergence: The End of Development Shortcuts

Individually, each paper issued a warning. Together, they predicted a structural shift in global power.

There is no industrialization without mathematics. There is no technological sovereignty without physics. There is no national security without cyber capability.

What was once framed as a development debate has become a war-economy reality. Late development shortcuts no longer exist. Renting technology, importing systems, or outsourcing expertise may produce short-term functionality, but it produces long-term dependence.

The predictive insight of these papers lies in one central truth: science is destiny.

Strategic Synthesis

In a war economy, states mobilize more than factories and soldiers. They mobilize knowledge systems. Mathematics trains strategic reasoning. Physics trains systems thinking. Cyber technology trains resilience and deterrence. This they function as force multipliers that allow small states to survive in power-based systems despite material weakness.

Together, these three pillars determine whether a nation designs or merely consumes technology, defends itself or relies on others, and shapes its future or reacts to external shocks. This is not a new lesson for Cambodia. As demonstrated in *The Birth of Modern Science in Cambodia*, the scientific foundations of Angkorian power, anchored in astronomy, mathematics, and engineering, were essential to the empire's civilizational strength. When that scientific ecosystem collapsed, so did the capacity to govern and endure. Today, this historical precedent returns as a warning: when science is weak, sovereignty is fragile. Building robust scientific institutions is not merely a developmental goal. It is a strategic imperative.

Conclusion

These three AVI papers were not theoretical exercises but early warnings whose predictive value is now unmistakable. Ignoring them delayed Cambodia's progress, and ignoring them today would directly endanger national sovereignty. Where mathematics, physics, and cyber capability are weak, industry stagnates, systems depend on others, and long-term autonomy becomes fragile. In a war economy, science is not preparation for tomorrow; it is the condition for survival today.

References:

Baverez, D. (2024). *Welcome to the war economy!* Westphalia Press.

Chhem, S. (2019). *Cyberconflict: How should Cambodia prepare?* (AVI Perspective No. 12). Asian Vision Institute.

Chhem, R. (2020). *The birth of modern science in Cambodia* (AVI Commentary No. 08). Asian Vision Institute.

Hul, S., & Chhem, S. (2020). *Importance of mathematics education for Cambodia's industries* (AVI Commentary No. 32). Asian Vision Institute.

Kuok, F., & Chhem, S. (2021). *The power of physics for Cambodia: Beyond the classroom* (AVI Commentary No. 24). Asian Vision Institute.

Maass, M. (2017). *Small states in world politics: The story of small state survival, 1648–2016*. Manchester University Press.

Scharre, P. (2018). *Army of none: Autonomous weapons and the future of war*. W. W. Norton & Company.

** Edited with ChatGPT for clarity; all views expressed are solely those of the author.*

** The opinions expressed are the author's own and do not reflect the views of AI Forum.*